### Sunset Public Hearing Questions for **Sex Offender Treatment Board**

Created by Section 39-13-704, *Tennessee Code Annotated* (Sunset termination June 2010)

1. Provide a brief introduction to the Sex Offender Treatment Board, including information about its purpose, statutory duties, staff and administrative attachment.

The Sex Offender Treatment Board (SOTB) is presently comprised of ten active members representing public and private entities. There are presently three vacancies to be addressed, which are described more fully in the response to question two, below.

Pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated 39-13-704, the SOTB is charged with the responsibility of establishing a comprehensive statewide treatment and monitoring system for adult sexual offenders. A statewide network of treatment providers continues to be developed and refined from year to year. A directory of providers may be obtained at <a href="http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/tsotb.html">http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/tsotb.html</a>.

The board continues to focus its effort on providing highly specialized training and continuous consultative services that emphasize a singular treatment philosophy with the goal of reducing the level of fragmentation in service delivery in the state. This outreach is addressed to the provider network, as well as to Probation and Parole and Community Correction offices throughout the state, to assure that there is expertise in every community for the management of sex offenders in every community.

2. Provide a list of current board members and describe how membership complies with Section 39-13-704, *Tennessee Code Annotated*. Are there any vacancies on the board? If so, what steps have been taken to fill the vacancies?

The roster of SOTB membership for 2009 is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The seat of the presiding officer was recently vacated by Jeanine Miller, Ph.D., who has accepted a position with the Bureau of Tenncare. The membership has nominated J. Michael Adler, Ph.D. to serve as presiding officer. The appointment is pending. It further appears that the Judiciary representative and the District Attorney Representative have been unable to serve. Upon the appointment of a presiding officer, the SOTB will act to address those apparent vacancies.

The expectation continues to be that each board member possess a level of expertise and understanding of the nature of sexual offending behavior and the impact that sexual predators present to the community, as well as a desire and commitment to support the mission of the SOTB.

The composition of the SOTB, as prescribed by Tennessee Code Annotated 39-13-704 is as follows:

(1) One (1) member representing the judicial branch, appointed by the Chief Justice of the Tennessee Supreme Court;

Hon. Seth Norman, Davidson County, is currently appointed to this seat but, it appears, unable to serve, as noted in the response to question one above.

(2) Two (2) members representing the Department of Correction, appointed by the Commissioner of Correction;

Sara Vardell, Psy.D., Director of the Sex Offender Program at the Lois DeBerry Special Needs Facility, Nashville, actively serves.

Jeanine Miler, Ph.D., formerly of the TDOC's Director of Mental Health, served as presiding officer of the SOTB until resigning in August 2009, in favor of accepting a new position with the Bureau of TennCare.

(3) One (1) member representing the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, appointed by the Director;

Pam Beck, J.D. actively serves and represents the TBI.

(4) One (1) member representing the Department of Children's Services, appointed by the Commissioner of Children's Services;

Jeanne Brooks, Office of Child Safety, DCS, actively serves.

- (5) One (1) member, appointed by the Commissioner of Correction, who is a licensed mental health professional with recognizable expertise in the treatment of sex offenders;
- J. Michael Adler, Ph.D., a Licensed Psychological Examiner engaged in community-based treatment, actively serves and is an expert in the field of treatment of sexual offenders.
- (6) One (1) member, appointed by the Commissioner of Correction, who is a District Attorney General;

Hon. Kim Helper, District Attorney General, Williamson County, is currently appointed to this seat but, it appears, unable to serve, as noted in the response to question one above.

(7) One (1) member, appointed by the Commissioner of Correction, who is a member of a Community Corrections Advisory Board;

Valda Cowan, Davidson County Community Corrections Program, actively serves.

(8) One (1) member, appointed by the commissioner of correction, who is a Public Defender;

David Doyle, Esq., Public Defender, Sumner County, actively serves.

(9) One (1) member, appointed by the commissioner of correction, who is representative of law enforcement;

Captain David Imhof, Metropolitan Nashville PD, actively serves.

(10) Two (2) members, appointed by the commissioner who are recognized experts in the field of sex abuse, and who can represent sex abuse victims and victims' rights organizations;

Bonnie Beneke, LCSW, TN Chapter of Child Advocacy Centers, Nashville, actively serves.

Verna Wyatt, Executive Director, "You Have The Power," a not-for-profit agency dedicated to raising awareness about crime and justice issues, with the goal of preventing crime and victimization, actively serves.

(11) One (1) member, appointed by the presiding officer of the Sex Offender Treatment Board, who is a representative of the board of probation and parole.

Kirk Smith, Assistant Director, Tennessee Board of Probation and Parole, actively serves.

3. Does membership include a member who is sixty years of age or older? A member who is a racial minority? A member who is female?

Please refer to Exhibit A. The SOTB does not solicit information concerning the ages of its membership, but is assured by the membership that at least one of its number is sixty years of age or older.

4. What per diem or travel reimbursement do members receive? How much was paid to board members during fiscal years 2008 and 2009?

All per diem and travel reimbursement rates follow established State policy. Please see Exhibit B, infra, for reimbursement detail. For FY 2008 and 2009.

5. What were the board's revenues (by source) and expenditures (by object) for fiscal years 2008 and 2009?

Please refer to Exhibit C, infra

6. How many times did the board meet in fiscal years 2008 and 2009, and how many members were present at each meeting?

The SOTB generally meets monthly. Exceptions occur during the end of year holiday season or as inclement weather may require. Participation is not compensated, except as to compensation for per diem expenses, as noted above, and is otherwise an accommodation of the member's employing agency or entity. Attendance thus varies, according to the necessity that the several members attend to other professional duties from time to time. The board would need additional time to respond, in order to produce a comprehensive tabulation of attendance for FY 08 and 09.

7. Is the board subject to Sunshine law requirements (Section 8-44-101 et seq., *Tennessee Code Annotated*) for public notice of meetings, prompt and full recording of minutes and public access to minutes? If so, what procedures does the board have for informing the public of its meetings, who keeps the official minutes of board meetings and what steps are taken to make the minutes available to the public?

The SOTB is subject to Sunshine law requirements. Its current schedule of meetings is posted on its website, <a href="http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/resources.html">http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/resources.html</a> The SOTB does receive visitors from time to time and does welcome input from those who may wish to address the board. Minutes of each meeting are prepared by a recording secretary, of the TDOC, and are available for inspection in accordance with Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 10, Chapter 7.

8. Does the board have any policies in place to address potential conflicts of interest by board members, board employees, or other state employees who work with the board in any capacity? If yes, please describe.

There is little or no pecuniary, material, political, or social advantage to be realized from the primary business of the board, which is the maintenance of standards for the treatment of sex offenders. That field of practice is not lucrative, and it is not realistic to expect that any one would attempt to purchase influence or have any expectation of gain by selling it, relative to the work of the Board. That said, ethical standards for sexual offender treatment, set forth in the TSOTB statement of Policy and Procedure makes clear that all conduct in furtherance of sexual offender treatment is strictly for the benefit of the public. See <a href="http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/documents.html">http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/documents.html</a> for a complete publication of SOTB policies and procedures.

9. What were the major accomplishments of the board during fiscal years 2008 and 2009?

The Board continues to offer a program of training and development for providers and supervision agencies, which brings expertise from around the nation to the offender management community of Tennessee.

- 10. Has the board developed the following as required by Section 39-13-704? If so, provide documentation for (or a description of) each item developed.
  - A standardized procedure for the evaluation and identification of sex offenders?

Standards, Guidelines, and Best Practices (which address intervention methodologies) established by the SOTB are described on its website, at <a href="http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/documents.html">http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/documents.html</a>

Methods of intervention for sex offenders?

See note above, regarding standards, guidelines, and best practices.

Measures of success?

This task has not been funded, to date.

• Guidelines and standards for a system of programs for the treatment of sex offenders?

See note above, regarding standards, guidelines, and best practices.

### • A plan for the allocation of sex offender treatment fund dollars among the judicial branch and the Departments of Correction and Children's Services?

There has been no plan of allocation developed, inasmuch that there does not appear to have been a fund balance appropriate for such distribution at any time in the history of the fund. The balance of the fund substantially goes to reimbursement of treatment services for indigent offenders, and for provider and offender management training. The fund typically maintains a marginal surplus from year to year after these expenses are satisfied. A complete accounting of the fund from inception through FY 2008-09 is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

#### A system for tracking offenders who have been subject to evaluation, identification, and treatment?

This task has never been funded for the SOTB. This provision of Tennessee Code Annotated 39-13-704(d)(4) appears to have been made obsolete with the enactment of Tennessee Code Annotated 40-39-201 et seq. and 40-39-301 et seq., which provide for comprehensive tracking and monitoring of sex offenders by the Board of Probation and Parole.

# • A system for monitoring offender behaviors and offender adherence to prescribed behavioral changes?

This task has never been funded for the SOTB. Offenders receiving treatment during incarceration are closely monitored regarding progress in treatment. Offender attendance to and progress in treatment in the community is a core element of supervision and is closely monitored by Probation and Parole.

## 11. Is each sex offender required to submit to an evaluation for risk, identification, amenability to treatment, and behavior management? How are such evaluations used?

Yes. The evaluation is used by the court, the treatment provider, and the community supervisor to establish the appropriate disposition, level of treatment, and plan of management for the offender.

# 12. Describe the number and type of sex offender treatment slots available. Are sufficient slots available? If not, provide information on the number of persons on a waiting list and the approximate wait.

The SOTB identifies the provider network, which establishes the number of providers available in a given locale at a given time. The SOTB lacks the resources, however, to measure system capacity or monitor system utilization. There is no indication of any wait list situation within the community treatment system, to our knowledge. The treatment program operated by the TDOC for incarcerated offenders does have a defined and limited capacity. The program generally serves those inmates who are within five years of expectation of

release from incarceration. Other inmates outside such proximity of release would, in effect, be on a wait list for admission to the program.

13. What other reports does the board prepare on its operations, activities and accomplishments and who receives the reports?

The SOTB does not currently prepare or cause to be prepared any reports other than those described above.

14. To what extent have offenders paid the costs of evaluation, identification, and treatment? How is ability to pay determined?

Exhibits C & D, described above, will provide a general picture of revenues realized from offender surcharges and expenditures made therefrom for provider reimbursement. Fiscal accounting in this area is provided by the TDOC, and additional accounting detail is not provided. It should be noted that the TDOC bears the cost of treatment provided to those offenders in its custody, in accordance with its budget and appropriations from year to year. Offender responsibility for such cost of incarceration is as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated, generally, at 41-21-901 et seq. The cost of treatment in the community, other than in cases of indigence, is to be borne by the offender, as provided by Tennessee Code Annotated Sections, 33-6-805 and 39-13-706. Determination of indigence and the schedule of reimbursement for indigent services is described in the following SOTB web resource: <a href="http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/pdf/SOTBAdministrativePolicies&Procedures21Aug2007pg">http://state.tn.us/correction/tsotb/pdf/SOTBAdministrativePolicies&Procedures21Aug2007pg</a>

15. What was the balance in the sex offender treatment fund as of June 30, 2009? To what extent was the money in this fund supplemented by state appropriations (or other revenues) during fiscal years 2008 and 2009?

See Exhibit D, described above

16. Is a system in place, as required by Section 39-13-709, *Tennessee Code Annotated*, to charge each person convicted of a sex offense a tax? What was the average amount charged to each sex offender during fiscal year 2009? Does the Department of Correction have a procedure to deduct unpaid balances from the offender's trust fund account?

Gross revenue collections indicated in Schedule D indicate that there is a continuing system for the assessment and collection of the tax. The SOTB does not directly administer that system, does not receive a detailed accounting of assessments and collections, and would not have need of such or the ability to utilize such detail. The TDOC does have a procedure for the collection of unpaid balances from an offender's trust fund account. See Exhibit E, attached hereto

17. Describe any items related to the board that require legislative attention and your proposed legislative changes.

As is noted above, Tennessee Code Annotated 39-13-704(d)(4) appears to have been made obsolete with the enactment of Tennessee Code Annotated 40-39-201 et seq. and 40-39-301 et seq., which provide for comprehensive tracking and monitoring of sex offenders by the Board of Probation and Parole.

The provision of 39-13-704(3), regarding allocation of the treatment fund appears to be moot, given fiscal record of the fund from inception to date.

The board notes that the definition of "sex offense" set forth in 39-17-703, which creates the offender's obligation to participate in treatment, predates the more comprehensive definitions of "sexual offense" and "violent sexual offense" set forth in 40-39-202, which establish the offender's registration obligations. In this circumstance, the obligation of treatment does not reach the offense of aggravated rape of a child, and does not appropriately address the gradation of offense with regard to statutory rape. It should be noted, further, that the definition provided by 39-17-703 would not reach, to the extent that the underlying acts would be relevant to sex offender treatment, other offenses that are provided for in 40-39-202. These include indecent exposure, kidnapping, false imprisonment, facilitation and accessory offenses related to sexual offenses, and certain now-repealed code sections that defined offenses involving acts against spouses, and acts involving exploitation of children.

### 18. Should the board be continued? To what extent and in what ways would the absence of the board endanger the public health, safety or welfare?

The SOTB should be continued. Sex offender treatment remains absolutely critical to the overall strategy for management of sex offenders. The fact remains that sex offenders cannot be cured of the condition and that the disposition to offend can only be managed toward preventing recurrence of offending acts. Apart from close supervision of the offender, continuing treatment focused on guiding the offender's patterns of thinking and behavior away from the disposition to offend remains the best tool available to the State to provide for the safe management of the offender in the community. As a practical matter, meanwhile, the recent reduction of inpatient psychiatric resources for the State, together with planned reduction in reliance on prison beds, and the resulting pressure on county detention facilities, there would seem to be fewer detention beds available now than before for sexual offenders, generally. If so, the need for sound effort in sexual offender treatment is more critical to community safety than before. The SOTB plays a crucial and central role is assuring that the treatment effort in Tennessee is a sound effort for the safety of the State's communities.

The SOTB addresses this need by providing the central leadership that connects the collaborative efforts of correction, probation, treatment, and victim rights advocacy, which are all necessary to successfully reduce sexual victimization. The SOTB has established standards and guidelines for treating and assessing sexual offenders. With resort to competent, trained professionals, the courts have access to reliable and valid assessments to be used in determining disposition of offender cases. The courts are thus able to differentiate higher risk offenders that may need higher levels of supervision or incarceration from lower risk offenders who can be managed and treated in a more fiscally efficient manner than long-

term incarceration. The SOTB's standards and best practices also guide treatment providers in assuring that sex offenders are focusing on relevant risk factors in their treatment necessary to reduce risk to re-offend. By successfully reducing and eliminating risk factors, recidivism is lowered and fewer individuals are victimized.

The SOTB has established a framework for collaboration between the treatment community and the probation/parole mission, to enhance the supervision and management for sexual offenders. Peer supervision provides a milieu for ongoing case management of offenders, involving multiple clinicians and probation officers. Additionally, periodic training offered by the SOTB increases awareness of the most effective techniques and strategies for managing and treating sex offenders.

The SOTB has through its work, established credibility within and among multiple State agencies. The SOTB has assisted Probation and Parole with establishing a method to assess sexual offenders in the community and effectively and efficiently utilize the GPS resources with higher risk offenders. The SOTB has provided assistance to DCS by providing training for professionals who treat juveniles who sexually act out. When juvenile treatment is effective, fewer juveniles are at high risk to become adult offenders. In the present economic climate, the work of the SOTB is essential to maintaining and continually improving this comprehensive approach to managing and treating sexual offenders in a way that enhances community safety. The SOTB has demonstrated the leadership, commitment, and credibility necessary to continue the collaborative efforts that are necessary to assure that treatment, assessment, and management of sex offenders in Tennessee is sound, safe, and successful for all communities.

19. Please list all board programs or activities that receive direct or indirect federal financial assistance and, therefore are required to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Include the amount of federal funding received by program/activity.

The SOTB does not receive and has not it its history received any federal financial assistance.

If the board <u>does</u> receive federal assistance, please answer questions 20 through 28. If the board <u>does not</u> receive federal assistance, proceed directly to question 26.

20. Does your board prepare a Title VI plan? If yes, please provide a copy of the most recent plan.

NA

21. Does your board have a Title VI coordinator? If yes, please provide the Title VI coordinator's name and phone number and a brief description of his/her duties. If not, provide the name and phone number of the person responsible for dealing with Title VI issues.

22. To which state or federal agency (if any) does your board report concerning Title VI? Please describe the information your board submits to the state or federal government and/or provide a copy of the most recent report submitted.

NA

23. Describe your board's actions to ensure that board staff and clients/program participants understand the requirements of Title VI.

NA

24. Describe your board's actions to ensure it is meeting Title VI requirements. Specifically, describe any board monitoring or tracking activities related to Title VI, and how frequently these activities occur.

NA

25. Please describe the board's procedures for handling Title VI complaints. Has your board received any Title VI-related complaints during the past two years? If yes, please describe each complaint, how each complaint was investigated, and how each complaint was resolved (or, if not yet resolved, the complaint's current status).

NA

26. Please provide a breakdown of current board staff by title, ethnicity, and gender.

See Exhibit A, infra.

27. Please list all board contracts, detailing each contractor, the services provided, the amount of the contract, and the ethnicity of the contractor/business owner.

The SOTB has no ongoing contracts for services. The board does purchase professional services annually, in respect to speaker compensation, and booking meeting facilities, in connection with its annual training conference. Speaker engagements are determined based upon subject matter relevance to the training program need, and otherwise upon proposal. Details of those expenditures appear in Exhibit B, infra. The board would require additional time for response, in order to tabulate all relevant agreements and provide detail as to ethnicity among participants. The board does not contract for provider services, per se but does maintain a list of providers of treatment services, updated annually, who have agreed to conform their practice to the guidelines and standards set forth by the SOTB. Entry into such agreement and listing as an approved provider are open to all.

28. Please provide a breakdown of inmates who participated during fiscal years 2008 and 2009 by ethnicity for each of the sex offender treatment programs covered under Title VI. (Note: This item will apply to federally funded programs operated directly by the auditee, as well as to federally funded programs operated by the auditee's grantees/contractors.)

As is noted above, the SOTB is not a recipient of federal funding, does not have any employees, does not have any clients, and does not operate any programs from which offender participant data are available. Indigent Sex offender treatment in the community is funded from the surcharges assessed to all sex offenders pursuant to statute. Sex offender treatment provided to inmates in TDOC facilities is funded by general appropriation in the State budget. Any nexus between the facility programs and federal funding received by the TDOC is not within the purview or control of the SOTB directly. The SOTB would thus rely upon the TDOC in respect to any Title VI compliance issues affecting those programs.

#### Tennessee Sex Offender Treatment Board (TSOTB) 2009 Board Members

Jeanine C. Miller, PhD, Presiding Officer	Kim Helper
TDOC Director of Mental Health	District Attorney General
Department of Correction	21 <sup>st</sup> Judicial District
320 Sixth Avenue North	Post Office Box 937
4 <sup>TH</sup> Floor RJB	Franklin, Tennessee 37065
	Franklin, Tennessee 37005
Nashville, TN 37243-0465	
Ph. 615-253-8163 Fax. 615-741-1055	
Email: <u>ieanine.c.miller@state.tn.us</u>	
African-American Female	Caucasian Female
J. Michael Adler, PhD	David G. Imhof, Captain
Licensed Psychological Examiner	200 James Robertson Parkway
P.O. Box 278	Nashville, Tennessee 37219
Limestone, TN 37681	Ph. 615-862-7560
Ph. 423-257-6055 Fax. 423-257-6975	Email: David.Imhof@nashville.gov
Email: mikeadler@candcservices.org	Citical <u>Baylamine Gradity in Equal</u>
Email: mikeadier & caridecervices.org	Caucasian Male
Caucasian Male	Oddodolan Male
	Henerable Seth W. Norman
Pam Beck, JD	Honorable Seth W. Norman
Tennessee Bureau of Investigation	Justice A.A. Birch Building
901 R. S. Gass Boulevard	408 2 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue North, Suite 6100
Nashville, TN 37216	Nashville, TN 37201
Ph. 615-744-4000 Fax. 615-744-7513	Email: Dana.Slate@jis.nashville.org
Email: Pam.Beck@state.tn.us	
Caucasian Female	Caucasian Male
Bonnie Beneke, LCSW	P. Kirk Smith, Assistant Director
TN Chapter of Child Advocacy Centers	Board of Probation and Parole
1266 Foster Avenue	Parkway Towers, Suite 1416
Nashville, TN 37210	404 James Robertson Parkway
Ph. 615-327-9450 ext. 14	Nashville, TN 37243
Email: bsbeneke@comcast.net	Ph. 615-253-3620 Fax. 615-532-4846
Email. <u>DSDefieke@Comcast.fiet</u>	
Couperion Famala	Email. Kirk.Smith@state.tn.us
Caucasian Female	0
	Caucasian Female
Jeanne Brooks, Program Specialist	Verna Wyatt
Office of Child Safety	You Have the Power
Department of Children's Services	2814 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South
8 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Cordell Hull Building	Nashville, TN 37204
Nashville, TN 37243	Ph. 615-292-7027 Fax. 615-292-4088
Ph. 615-532-5622 Fax. 615-253-0069	Email: verna.wyatt@yhtp.org
Email: jeanne.brooks@state.tn.us	
	Caucasian Female
Caucasian Female	
Valda Cowan	Sara Vardell, PsyD
523 Mainstream Drive, Suite 210	Director Sex Offender Program
Davidson County Community Corrections Program	Lois M. DeBerry Special Needs Facility
1	
Nashville, TN 37228	7575 Cockrill Bend Blvd
Ph. 615-862-8375	Nashville, TN 37243-0469
Email: ValdaCowan@jis.nashville.org	Ph. 615-350-2700
	Email: Sara.Vardell@state.tn.us
African American Female	
	Caucasian Female
David Doyle	Ex - Oficio
Public Defender	Bryce Coatney, JD, Staff Attorney (TDOC),
117 East Main Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	Counsel to the Board
<u></u>	

# Tennessee Sex Offender Treatment Board (TSOTB) 2009 Board Members

Gallatin, TN 37066	Caucasian Male
Ph. 615-451-5833 Fax. 615-451-5840 Email: david.a.dovle@state.tn.us	Judy Lambert, Judicial Cost Account, Fiscal
Gavid.a.do yio & State.iii.us	Advisor to the Board
Caucasian Male	Caucasian Female

# Sex Offender Treatment Fund Expenditures July, 2008 through June, 2009

Expenditures July, 2008 thro	ough June, 2009
03 -TRAVEL:	
Michael Adler	127.62
Michael Adler	670.84 (\$269.56 SOTB Conf)
Michael Adler	401.32
Michael Adler	449.82
Michael Adler	1,223.64
Michael Adler	449.82
Michael Adler	461.34
Out of State Airline	2,219.80 (2010 SOTB Conf Pd FY09)
Holiday Inn Select-Opryland	32,426.29 (SOTB Conf)
Total Travel	\$38,430.49
04 - PRINTING:	
PT0000019	\$434.69 (SOTB Conf)
PT0000023	272.98 (SOTB Conf)
Total Printing	707.67
08 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES:	
Educational Supplies:	
FYI Promotions	1,363.25 (SOTB Conf)
Brightminds	149.40 (SOTB Conf)
Total Educational Supplies	1,512.65
Training	
Training:	4.750.00 (COTD Conf)
Gerry Blasingame	1,750.00 (SOTB Conf)
Niki Delson	1,623.00 (SOTB Conf)
Lisa Fontes	1,880.29 (SOTB Conf)
Footprints Consulting Service	1,750.00 (SOTB Conf)
Donna Moore	2,125.00 (SOTB Conf)
Cardwell Nuckols	3,111.25 (SOTB Conf)
Gail Ryan	3,101.88 (SOTB Conf)
Total Training	\$15,341.42
American Psychoclogical Association	300.00 (SOTB Conf)
Total CEU's - Training Credit Approval	\$300.00
Total 0200 Training Groate Approval	ψοσο.σο
Meetings.com Event Tech	4,053.00 (SOTB Conf)
3	4,053.00
Provider Services:	,
Action Counseling & Consulting	5,580.00
Advanced Polygraph Services	26,700.00
Behavior Management Consultants	1,800.00
Center for Individual & Family	15,340.00
Counseling & Consultations Services	16,130.00
Counseling Center	2,900.00
Counseling Resource of America	6,600.00
Crossroads Inegrated Health Services	3,320.00
Cummins, Jennifer	14,640.00
Davis, Sharon	19,970.00
East Tn Human Resource Agency	18,120.00
G & L Enterprise	100.00
ı	

# Sex Offender Treatment Fund Expenditures July, 2008 through June, 2009

Goins, Karan	20,200.00		
Hilliard, Deryl	10,140.00		
Jackson, Jim	4,640.00		
KATARTIDZO	15,740.00		
Lancaster, John	600.00		
Lane, James Ray	100.00		
Martin, Rachael	1,400.00		
McConkey, Tim	3,200.00		
Moore, Donna	12,640.00		
Netherton, Tom	19,640.00		
PDD Examinations	700.00		
Psychological Consulting Services	1,600.00		
Rogers & Associates	17,400.00		
San Miguel, Margaret	25,100.00		
Scott, Kathleen	4,320.00		
SOS Services	700.00		
Tillery, William	29,980.00		
Volunteer Behavioral Health Care System	1,200.00		
Total Provider Services		\$300,500.00	

Total Professional Services

Total Expenditures \$360,845.23

321,707.07

# Sex Offender Treatment Fund Expenditures July, 2007 through June, 2008

Expenditures July, 2007 th	irough June, 2006	
03 -TRAVEL:		
Michael Adler	3,170.81 (\$276 Conf)	
Michael Adler	1,080.90	
Holiday Inn Select	39,588.41 (SOTB Conf)	
Blasingame, Gerry	456.70 (SOTB Conf)	
Delson, Niki	787.20 (SOTB Conf)	
Blasingame, Gerry	-456.70 (SOTB Conf)	Note (1)
Delson, Niki	-787.20 (SOTB Conf)	Note (1)
Weiss, Robert	503.70 (SOTB Conf)	
Rich, Phil	558.60 (SOTB Conf)	
Velazco, Ann	355.10 (SOTB Conf)	
Steen, Charlene	448.70 (SOTB Conf)	
Powell, David	151.90 (SOTB Conf)	
Total Travel		\$45,858.12
04 - PRINTING:	#4 000 07 (OOTD O 5)	
General Services	\$1,809.37_(SOTB Conf)	4 000 07
Total Printing		1,809.37
08 - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: Training: Delson-Kokish Associates Cardwell C Kuckol's & Associates Rich, Phil Steene, Charlene	3,168.65 (SOTB Conf) 3,564.86 (SOTB Conf) 2,800.00 (SOTB Conf) 1,102.28 (SOTB Conf)	
International Center for Health Concerns	1,960.53 (SOTB Conf)	
Fortes, Linda	2,454.04 (SOTB Conf)	
Blasingame, Gerry	2,452.00 (SOTB Conf)	
Moore, Donna	900.00 (SOTB Conf)	
Velazco, Ann	1,200.00 (SOTB Conf)	
Sexual Recovery Insitute	2,159.88 (SOTB Conf)	
Stop It Now	630.46 (SOTB Conf)	
Robbins, Lynn	226.22 (SOTB Conf) \$22,618.92	
Total Training	\$22,010.92	
American Psychological Assoc-CEU's	1,380.00 (SOTB Conf)	
Jeanine Miller	50.00 (SOTB Conf)	Note (2)
Total CEU's - Training Credit Approval	\$1,430.00	• •
rotal 0200 Framing Grount approval	Ţ.,	
Meetings.com Event Tech	4,175.00 (SOTB Conf) 4,175.00	
Provider Services:	.,	
Action Counseling & Consulting	3,330.00	
Advanced Polygraph Services	17,300.00	
Behavior Management Consultants, Inc	850.00	
Center for Individual & Family	6,040.00	
-		

**Counseling Center** 

Counseling & Consultations Services

# Sex Offender Treatment Fund Expenditures July, 2007 through June, 2008

2,220.00

8,130.00

\$226,591.41

Counseling Resource of America	11,700.00		
Crimm, Melissa	600.00		
Crossroads Counseling Center	18,480.00		
Cummins, Jennifer	7,200.00		
Davis, Sharon	2,320.00		
East Tn Human Resource Agency	5,900.00		
Hilliard, Deryl	8,660.00		
Hutson, John	600.00		
Jackson, Jim	2,390.00		
Martin, Rachael	2,020.00		
McSpadden, Anne	300.00		
Moore, Donna	4,600.00		
Netherton, Tom	4,440.00		
PDD Examinations	1,100.00		
Psychological Consulting Services	1,420.00		
Rogers & Associates	13,900.00		
San Miguel, Margaret	5,900.00		
Scott, Kathleen	4,340.00		
SOS Services	1,800.00		
Stanley, William	300.00		
Tillery, William	14,860.00		
Total Provider Services		\$150,700.00	
Total Professional Services		-	178,923.92

NOTE 1: Airline was not JV'd into from 329.01 to 329.50
NOTE 2: This should have been FY09; due to small \$ amt, Accts did not process the JE

request

**Total Expenditures** 

#### Sex Offender Treatment Fund Revenue - FY09

	Dept of	TDOC	TDOC	TDOC	
	Revenue	Trust Fund	Src 880	Src 890	Total
July	\$7,559.57	\$1,733.30	\$50,790.00		\$60,082.87
August	7,739.49	1,473.32	6,295.00		15,507.81
September	7,742.44	1,716.05			9,458.49
October	7,482.98	2,193.55	450.00	4,480.00	14,606.53
November	7,461.91	2,707.92		500.00	10,669.83
December	5,414.69	2,800.89			8,215.58
January	6,606.48	2,036.81			8,643.29
February	7,580.68	2,250.74			9,831.42
March	7,936.08	1,992.40			9,928.48
April	8,793.56				8,793.56
May	7,332.25				7,332.25
June	7,037.10	5,330.54			12,367.64
Total Revenue Collection	\$88,687.23	\$24,235.52	\$57,535.00	\$4,980.00	\$175,437.75

Only have a total from Edison

#### Sex Offender Treatment Fund Revenue - FY08

	Dept of	TDOC	TDOC	TDOC	
	Revenue	Trust Fund	Src 880	Src 890	Total
July	\$8,790.98	\$916.41	\$36,359.64		\$46,067.03
August	7,657.71	1,643.84	21,385.00		30,686.55
September	8,297.62	1,387.58	1,420.00		11,105.20
October	7,446.30	1,624.27	3,375.00		12,445.57
November	8,022.97	1,374.14			9,397.11
December	6,670.85	1,475.97	1,233.16		9,379.98
January	5,934.80	1,601.84	-2,209.64		5,327.00
February	7,958.48	1,592.63			9,551.11
March	9,968.82	1,596.29			11,565.11
April	7,850.19	1,617.81			9,468.00
May	8,441.18	1,324.43			9,765.61
June	7,452.61	1,427.89		18,820.00	27,700.50
Total Revenue Collection	\$94,492.51	\$17,583.10	\$61,563.16	\$18,820.00	\$192,458.77



### ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

State of Tennessee Department of Correction

Index #: 208.03	Page	1	of	4
Effective Date: September	15, 200	98		
Distribution: A				
Supersedes: 208.03 (8/1/05	)			

Approved by: George M. Little

Subject: COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWED BY OFFENDERS

- I. <u>AUTHORITY</u>: TCA 4-3-603, TCA 4-3-606, TCA 29-13-101 thru TCA 29-13-119, TCA 40-24-107, TCA 40-25-130, TCA 40-25-143, TCA 41-21-801 through TCA 41-21-818, TCA 67-4-602, TCA 67-4-603, and TCA 67-4-604.
- II. <u>PURPOSE</u>: To establish uniform procedures for the collection and deposit of various taxes, fees, court costs, and fines due to be paid by confined inmates.
- III. <u>APPLICATION</u>: To Wardens, Fiscal Officers, inmates, and privately managed institutions.

#### IV. DEFINITIONS:

- A. <u>Clerk of Court</u>: An officer of the court who files pleadings, motions, judgments, etc., issues process, and keeps records of court proceedings.
- B. <u>Court Costs</u>: Costs, as allowed by statute(s), levied by the trial court against the person convicted of a criminal offense, which are to be collected by the clerk of the court.
- C. <u>Criminal Injuries Compensation Fee (CICF)</u>: A tax levied when any person is convicted of a crime of any nature by a circuit court, court of general sessions, or a comparable court of record with jurisdiction over criminal matters.
- D. <u>Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund</u>: A state fund established for the victims of crime to be awarded damages resulting from a criminal act.
- E. Litigation Tax: A tax levied on civil and criminal litigation.
- F. <u>Privilege Tax</u>: A tax levied upon transactions deemed by the legislature to be a privilege to a person.
- V. <u>POLICY</u>: The Tennessee Department of Correction (TDOC) shall collect all privilege taxes, court costs, and other monies owed by an offender in the physical custody of the Department that were not collected by the various court clerks.
- VI. <u>PROCEDURES</u>: TDOC shall collect all privilege taxes, court costs, and other monies owed by offenders in its physical custody through the business offices of its various institutions as outlined in the following procedures:
  - A. Upon the intake of an inmate, copies of all Privilege and Litigation Taxes Certification to Tennessee Department of Correction, CR-3438, and court costs shall be delivered to the records office.

Effective Date: September 15, 2008	Index # 208.03	Page	2	of	4
Subject: COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER	AMOUNTS OWED BY OFFEND	ERS			

- 1. The institutional records office will process the documents and assign an inmate identification number (as appropriate) and forward a copy of the Privilege and Litigation Taxes Certification to Tennessee Department of Correction, CR-3438, and court orders to the trust fund custodian.
- 2. The trust fund custodian will make the appropriate entries in TOMIS (LCDU) provided the taxes and court costs were not previously entered. The TOMIS (LCDG) contact note will be completed using code ABTE including the case numbers.
- 3. The trust fund custodian will notify the inmate of the amounts to be collected from his/her account.
- B. When all applicable documents have been verified and the amounts due to be collected from the inmate have been verified and entered into TOMIS, withdrawals for the payment of privilege taxes and other assessed amounts will be made as follows:
  - 1. If an inmate has funds in his/her trust fund account to pay the privilege taxes levied or other assessed amounts due without reducing the account balance below \$0.00, these funds shall be withdrawn. In no event shall the inmate's trust fund balance be reduced below \$0.00 by these withdrawals.
  - 2. The monthly statement issued to the inmate will serve as the receipt for this withdrawal. If the inmate objects to the withdrawal upon receiving this statement, a written statement should be submitted to the Warden explaining why the removal of the monies for privile ge taxes or other amounts should not occur, along with evidence which the inmate believes to be pertinent. If the Warden or designee determines that the funds should not have been removed from the inmate's account, the trust fund custodian will take the necessary steps to reimburse the inmate for those funds withdrawn.
  - 3. On a daily basis, amounts withdrawn from inmate accounts for privilege taxes and other amounts will be deposited (transferred) to an organization account established within the trust fund for the deposit and accumulation of these monies.
  - 4. During the first week of each month the total of all monies withdrawn from inmate trust fund accounts for privilege taxes and other assessments will be paid to the appropriate authority. The litigation taxes collected under the provisions of TCA 67-4-602 shall be collected first and shall be paid by the institution collecting the tax to the clerk of the court levying the tax. The privilege taxes collected under the provisions of TCA 40-24-107 shall be paid to the Treasurer of the State of Tennessee, and will be paid by the Central Trust Fund Administration by a journal voucher each month.
- C. Fees and costs for frivolous or malicious claims and other court orders will be collected as directed by the court order.

Effective Date: September 15, 2008	Index # 208.03	Page	3	of	4
Subject: COLLECTION OF TAXES AND OTHER	AMOUNTS OWED BY OFFENDE	ERS			

- D. Criminal court cost imposed on the inmate and paid by the State shall be processed as follows:
  - 1. Central Trust Fund Administration will make the appropriate entries on TOMIS (LCDU) for cases accruing under TCA 40-25-130(5). Fifty percent of all deposits will be collected until the amount is paid in full.
  - 2. The trust fund custodians will credit the amounts collected into the appropriate organization account.
  - 3. CTFA will transfer the funds from the organization account to Allotment 329.04, current services revenue account, on a monthly basis.
- E. All privilege taxes related to the criminal injuries compensation fund and litigation taxes must be collected from the inmate prior to the inmate's release from confinement with the department, either upon parole, probation, or expiration of sentence. Where such taxes are not collected prior to the inmate's release on parole or probation, the Board of Probation and Parole and the clerk of the sentencing court shall be notified of any unpaid taxes or court costs by the trust fund custodian. Where an inmate is to be released on expiration of the sentence and remains indebted to the State for taxes or to the courts for costs, the clerk of the sentencing court shall be notified by the trust fund custodian. A copy of TOMIS screens LCDI and LCDQ should be a part of the notification. The institution shall place additional copies of the TOMIS screens in the inmate's institutional file.
- VII. <u>ACA STANDARDS</u>: 4-4027 and 4-4031.
- VIII. <u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>: September 15, 2011.



### PRIVILEGE AND LITIGATION TAXES CERTIFICATION TO TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION

			DA	NTE:		
6 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR RA 320 SIXTH AVE	OF CORRECTION CHEL JACKSON BUILE					
STATE OF TEN	NESSEE	CC	DUNTY			
VS.		CASE NO. (DO	CKET)			
State Privilege Tax State Litigation Tax	(TCA 67-4-602) \$	of which	\$	been been ¡	alculations) paid, leaving unpaid paid, leaving unpaid	<u>\$</u> \$
Total amount to be of Sex Offender Tax (1	collected by the Tenness FCA 39-13-709)	see Department of Cor of which	-	\$ been	 paid, leaving unpaid	\$
					IAL COURT	
		OF PRIVILEGE AND	LITIGATIO	ON TAX	ES	
	egeTax (TCA 40-24-107 er of convictions	7) times	\$ 26.50	=	\$	
	er of convictions	times	\$ 50.00	=	\$	
	er of convictions	times	\$500.00	=	\$	
Total privile	ege taxes levied					
State Litiga	ation Tax (TCA 67-4-602	2)				
A. Numb	er of civil suits	times	\$ 13.75	=	\$	
B. Numb	er of civil cases	times	\$ 28.50	=	\$	
C. Numbe	er of cases	times	\$ 3.00	=	\$	
Total litigat	tion taxes levied					
3. Sex Offeno	der Tax (TCA 39-13-709	) Assessed by Court II	ıdae			